

Figure 3. Density of *n*-hexadecane at 205 psia:  $\Box$ , measured; – calculated from Orwoll and Flory (1967); – – –, best fit of data.

pressures well above ambient.

### Glossary

 $D_{AB}$  diffusivity of solute A in solvent B, m<sup>2</sup>/s L dispersion tube length

- mmass flow rateRdispersion tube radiusTabsolute temperature, K
- $\overline{t}$  first temporal moment (retention time)
- V molar volume of solvent, cm<sup>3</sup>/g-mol
- V<sub>t</sub> dispersion tube volume
- $\beta$  coefficient in correlation of eq 5
- $\rho$  solvent density, g/cm<sup>3</sup>
- $\sigma^2$  second temporal moment (variance)
- $\zeta_0$  defined by eq 3
- $\eta$  viscosity, cP

**Registry No.** *n*-Octane, 111-65-9; *n*-decane, 124-18-5; *n*-dodecane, 112-40-3; *n*-tetradecane, 629-59-4; *n*-hexadecane, 544-76-3.

### **Literature Cited**

- (1) Alizadeh, A.; Nieto de Castro, C. A.; Wakeham, W. A. Int. J. Thermophys. 1980, 1(3), 243.
- (2) Matthews, M. A.; Akgerman, A. "Diffusivities of Synthesis Gas and Fischer-Tropsch Products in Slurry Media"; Quarterly Report, Jan-Mar 1986, U.S. Department of Energy DE-AC2284PC 70032.
- Matthews, M. A.; Akgerman, A. Int. J. Thermophys. 1987, 8, 363.
   Matthews, M. A.; Akgerman, A. AIChE J., in press.
- (5) Matthews, M. A. Ph.D. Dissertation, Texas A&M University, College Station, TX, 1986.
- 6) Orwoll, R. A.; Flory, P. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1967, 89, 6814.
- (7) TRC Thermodynamic Tables Hydrocarbons; Thermodynamics Research Center, Texas A&M University System: College Station, TX, 1986.

Received for review August 25, 1986. Accepted March 30, 1987. This work was supported by Contract DE-AC22-84PC 70032 from the U.S. Department of Energy.

# High-Temperature Diffusion of Hydrogen, Carbon Monoxide, and Carbon Dioxide in Liquid *n*-Heptane, *n*-Dodecane, and *n*-Hexadecane

### Michael A. Matthews, John B. Rodden, and Aydin Akgerman\*

Department of Chemical Engineering, Texas A&M University, College Station, Texas 77843

Mutual diffusion coefficients at infinite dilution have been measured for hydrogen, carbon monoxide, and carbon dioxide in the solvents *n*-heptane, *n*-dodecane, and *n*-hexadecane. The data cover the temperature range from 25 to 293 °C and pressures of 1415 and 3450 kPa. The Taylor dispersion method was used for diffusion measurements. It was found that the Sovova correlation for diffusion of dissolved gases in liquids failed to predict diffusivities at temperatures above ambient. A free-volume approach to correlation is indicated instead.

### Introduction

Diffusion coefficients of hydrogen, carbon monoxide, and carbon dioxide are needed in evaluation of mass transport in high-temperature applications such as hydrogenation, hydrotreating, Fischer-Tropsch synthesis, coal liquefaction, etc. The data in the literature are at temperatures and pressures near ambient, and predictive theories based on these data cannot reliably be extrapolated to the high-temperature range. The objective of this work was to measure diffusion coefficients for these gases at temperatures to 293 °C and pressures to 3450 kPa (500 psia).

### **Experimental Technique**

Mutual diffusion coefficients of the three gases were measured by using the Taylor dispersion method. The present device and working equations have been described previously (1). In this method, a narrow pulse of solute (A) diluted in the solvent (B) is injected into a tube in which the solvent is moving in slow laminar flow. The pulse ultimately assumes a Gaussian distribution whose temporal variance  $\sigma^2$  is dependent on the average flow velocity  $\bar{u}$  and molecular diffusivity  $D_{AB}$ . At the end of the diffusion tube the concentration vs. time data C(t)



Figure 1. Schematic diagram of high-pressure high-temperature Taylor dispersion apparatus: 1, solvent reservoir; 2, solvent pump; 3; capiliary tubing pulse damper; 4, back pressure regulators; 5, pressure transducers; 6, refractive index detector; 7, sample solution; 8, sample injection pump; 9, 6-port sample injection valve; 10, adjustable check valve; 11, coiled dispersion tube; 12, 3-way valve; 13, heated enclosure.

are recorded as the peak elutes. The normalized first and second temporal moments  $\vec{t}$  and  $\sigma^2$  are calculated by finite summation (eq 1–3), and then the diffusion coefficient is calculated from eq 4.

$$M = \sum C(t) \Delta t \tag{1}$$

$$\bar{t} = \frac{1}{M} \sum t C(t) \Delta t \tag{2}$$

$$\sigma^2 = \frac{1}{M} \sum (t - \bar{t})^2 C(t) \Delta t \tag{3}$$

$$D_{AB} = \frac{1}{2} \left[ \bar{u}L\zeta_0 - \left[ (\bar{u}L\zeta_0)^2 - \left(\frac{\bar{u}^2 R^2}{12}\right) \right]^{1/2} \right]$$
(4)

where

$$\bar{u} = \frac{L}{t} (1 + 2\zeta_0) \tag{5}$$

$$\zeta_0 = \frac{2\sigma^2 - \bar{t}^2 + [\bar{t}^4 + 4\bar{t}^2\sigma^2]^{1/2}}{(8\bar{t}^2 - 4\sigma^2)} \tag{6}$$

Equation 4 accounts for molecular diffusion in both axial and radial directions within the dispersion tube. The tube length L and radius R are corrected for thermal expansion effects which are significant at temperatures above 100 °C. Alizadeh et al. (2) have recently discussed the Taylor dispersion method in extensive detail. By designing the present apparatus in accord with the discussion of Alizadeh et al. (2), the systematic errors are reduced to the order of 0.5%.

The apparatus is shown in Figure 1. The solvent is sparged with helium and pumped through a capillary restrictor and backpressure regulator, which act to dampen pulses from the metering pump. The solvent flows through the reference side of the concentration detector (LDC/Milton Roy Refractomonitor) and back into the heated enclosure where the solute sample in injected. The sample was prepared by saturating the solvent with gas at room temperature. The saturation pressure was 50 psig for  $CO_2$  and 150 psig for CO and hydrogen. The peak disperses in the tube, where the retention time is approximately 3 h, and then passes through the sample side of the detector and out of the system.

Concentration vs. time data were collected with the aid of a digital voltmeter and a microcomputer. Typically, at least 100 time vs. concentration points were collected and stored on disk for each response peak. The first and second moments were subsequently calculated by finite summation (eq 1-3).

Temperature measurements were made with six thermistors placed at various locations within the heated enclosure. The

Table I. Diffusion Coefficients of Gases in Alkane Solvents  $(D_{AB} \times 10^9 \text{ m}^2/\text{s})$ 

<i>T</i> , ⁰C	P, kPa	H <sub>2</sub>	CO	$CO_2$						
Solvent: n-Heptane										
25	1014	$24.0 \pm 1.2$	$8.12 \pm 0.2$							
154	3448	$70.7 \pm 0.3$	$25.3 \pm 0.02$							
Solvent: <i>n</i> -Dodecane										
31	1393	$10.9 \pm 0.2$	$4.73 \pm 0.21$	$3.90 \pm 0.04$						
99	1412	$27.1 \pm 1.2$	$10.7 \pm 0.4$	$8.68 \pm 0.1$						
99	3444	$24.9 \pm 0.8$	$9.54 \pm 0.25$	$8.68 \pm 0.06$						
172	1445	$46.3 \pm 0.03$	$16.4 \pm 0.4$	$15.6 \pm 0.2$						
170	3454	$41.0 \pm 1.0$	$17.6 \pm 0.2$	$15.6 \pm 0.1$						
240	1451	$78.0 \pm 4.8$	$27.0 \pm 0.7$	$25.1 \pm 0.1$						
242	3435	$70.3 \pm 1.3$	$26.0 \pm 1.5$	$24.7 \pm 0.2$						
294	1463	$118 \pm 2$	$43.4 \pm 0.8$	$37.0 \pm 0.4$						
293	3439	$112 \pm 1$	$43.1 \pm 1.3$	$34.9 \pm 0.3$						
Solvent: <i>n</i> -Hexadecane										
50	1421	$10.5 \pm 0.3$	$4.01 \pm 0.14$	$3.48 \pm 0.03$						
50	3460	$10.3 \pm 0.6$	$3.86 \pm 0.07$	$3.47 \pm 0.09$						
98	1404	$20.8 \pm 0.1$	$6.72 \pm 0.15$	$6.57 \pm 0.01$						
98	3439	$18.9 \pm 0.4$	$7.19 \pm 0.18$	$6.53 \pm 0.12$						
170	1397	$35.1 \pm 1.6$	$13.6 \pm 0.2$	$12.5 \pm 0.1$						
170	3426	$35.2 \pm 2.5$	$13.1 \pm 0.5$	$12.4 \pm 0.1$						
240	1412	$51.2 \pm 2.5$	$22.5 \pm 0.6$	$20.2 \pm 0.2$						
240	3428	$49.7 \pm 1.7$	$21.5 \pm 0.1$	19.9 ± 0.1						
291	1404	$69.0 \pm 6.0$	$32.3 \pm 0.5$	$27.4 \pm 0.1$						
291	3409	$64.0 \pm 4.3$	$28.7 \pm 0.7$	$27.2 \pm 0.4$						

thermistors were calibrated against a Rosemount platinum RTD which had been certified by the U.S. National Bureau of Standards. The temperatures were averaged to give the reported values, which have an estimated uncertainty of less than  $\pm 1$  °C. Pressures were measured with a strain gauge pressure transducer calibrated against a Ruska air dead-weight gauge. The pressure is estimated to be accurate to  $\pm 3.4$  kPa.

Hexadecane was obtained from Alfa Chemicals, dodecane from Phillips Chemicals, and *n*-heptane from MCB Reagents. Bottled gases were used as received. The minimum stated purity for the solvents was 99 mol %, which was confirmed by gas chromatography.

## **Results and Discussion**

Measured diffusion coefficients are given in Table I as the mean of three repeat measurements. Also given are the standard deviations about the mean. Standard deviations are typically less than  $\pm 5\%$  of the mean for the CO and CO<sub>2</sub> data. For hydrogen, the standard deviations are usually somewhat larger because hydrogen, being the least soluble at saturation conditions, gives the least intense response peak. Random noise in the signal thus gives larger relative error.

These data extend the available temperature range for diffusion measurements by about 200 °C. Previous predictive equations are almost exclusively based on data taken at ambient temperatures. The most prevalent approach to data correlation is based on hydrodynamic theory, wherein the diffusion coefficient is taken to be inversely proportional to the solvent viscosity  $\eta$ , or some arbitrary power of the viscosity. The most recent general correlation for the diffusivity of gases in liquids was developed by Sovova (3) in which the diffusion coefficient is determined by the viscosity of the solvent and the molar volume  $V_A$  of the solute. For *n*-alkane solvents, Sovova presents the following correlation

$$D_{\rm AB} = 33.74 \eta^{-0.5} V_{\rm A}^{-0.6} \tag{7}$$

where  $\eta$  is in centipoise and  $V_A$  is in cm<sup>3</sup>/g-mol.

The predictions of this equation are compared to our measured values in Figures 2 and 3, where diffusion coefficients are



Figure 2. Comparison of measured diffusivities in dodecane to predictions from the Sovova correlation.



Figure 3. Comparison of measured diffusivities in hexadecane to predictions from the Sovova correlation.

plotted against the reciprocal of the square root of the solvent viscosity. The values of  $V_A$  used are those recommended by Sovova (6). It is evident that at temperatures above ambient temperatures the correlation fails badly.

Dymond (4), Chen and Chen (5), Chen et al. (6), and Sun and Chen (7) have suggested a superior method for correlation of diffusion coefficients which is based on the free volume in the solvent. Figures 4, 5, and 6 show the linear relationship between  $D_{AB}/T^{1/2}$  and solvent molar volume V for the three gas



Figure 4. Free-volume relation for the solute hydrogen over the temperature range 25-293 °C. Straight lines represent eq 8 with the parameters from Table II.



Figure 5. Free-volume relation for the solute carbon monoxide over the temperature range 25–293 °C. Straight lines represent eq 8 with the parameters from Table II.

solutes. This confirms the form of the expression suggested by the above authors

$$\frac{D_{AB}}{T^{1/2}} = \beta (V - V_{D})$$
(8)

where the parameters  $\beta$  and  $V_{\rm D}$  are given in Table II. The average absolute deviation for eq 8 is 4%, with the largest



Figure 6. Free-volume relation for the solute carbon dioxide over the temperature range 30-293 °C. Straight lines represent eq 8 with the parameters from Table II.

Table II. Best Fit Parameters for Eq 8<sup>a</sup>

	solvent							
	heptane		dodecane		hexadecane			
solute	$\overline{V_{\rm D}}$	β	$V_{\rm D}$	$\beta$	$V_{\rm D}$	β		
$H_2$	128	0.0698	218	0.0449	272	0.0237		
CÕ	129	0.0259	215	0.0162	283	0.0117		
$\mathrm{CO}_2$			213	0.0137	282	0.0104		

<sup>*a*</sup> $D_{AB}$  in 10<sup>9</sup> m<sup>2</sup>/s,  $V_D$  in cm<sup>3</sup>/mol,  $\beta$  in m<sup>2</sup>·(mol/s)·cm<sup>3</sup>·K<sup>1/2</sup>.

deviations occurring at the lowest temperatures.

### Conclusions

This work extends the data base for diffusion coefficients of hydrogen, carbon monoxide, and carbon dioxide in alkane solvents to the high-temperature region, where few dissolved gas measurements of any kind are available. The data will aid in design calculations of mass-transfer resistances at high temperature, and in the development of predictive theories for diffusion. Previously, data were available only at temperatures near ambient, and it is likely that correlations based on such data will not extrapolate reliably to higher temperatures. This point is demonstrated for the recently proposed Sovova correlation. The validity of a free-volume relationship over a wide temperature range is demonstrated, which suggests a superior method of correlation compared to the hydrodynamic approach.

# Glossary

- $D_{AB}$ diffusivity of solute A in solvent B
- L dispersion tube length
- М zeroth temporal moment
- R dispersion tube radius
- Τ absolute temperature, K
- t time ī
  - first temporal moment
- ü average velocity of laminar flow
- V molar volume of solvent, cm3/mol
- VA molar volume of solute at normal boiling point, cm<sup>3</sup>/mol
- $V_{\rm D}$ molar volume of solvent at which diffusion goes to zero, cm<sup>3</sup>/mol
- β coefficient in eq 8
- $\sigma^2$ second temporal moment (variance)
- 50 defined by eq 6
- solvent viscosity η

Registry No. H<sub>2</sub>, 1333-74-0; CO<sub>2</sub>, 124-38-9; CO, 630-08-0; n-heptane, 142-82-5; n-dodecane, 112-40-3; n-hexadecane, 544-76-3.

## **Literature Cited**

of Energy.

- (1) Matthews, M. A.; Akgerman, A. AIChE J., in press.
- Alizadeh, A.; Nieto de Castro, C. A.; Wakeham, W. A. Int. J. Ther-mophys. 1980, 1, 243.
   Sovova, H. Collect. Czech. Chem. Commun. 1976, 41, 3715.

- (4) Dyman, J. H. J. Chem. Phys. 1974, 3, 969.
  (5) Chen, H. C.; Chen, S. H. Ind. Eng. Chem. Fund. 1985, 24, 187.
  (6) Chen, S. H.; Davis, H. T.; Evans, D. F. J. Chem. Phys. 1982, 77,
- 2540. (7) Sun, C. K. J.; Chen, S. H. AIChE J. 1985, 31, 1510.

Received for review August 25, 1986. Accepted March 30, 1987. This work was supported by Contract DE-AC22-84PC 70032 from the U.S. Department